

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION



UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS  
Session XCVII

General Body Meeting  
7:00 pm, Tuesday, March 28th, 2017  
Devon Hall 120

Session XCVII

Roll Call -

Flag Salute- Representative Brown

Approval of Minutes

Motion to Approve the Minutes Representative Nigh sec Representative Cordova

**Chair's Report-** There is a lot of information, so bear with me. Thanks for coming out with this bad weather. If the OU Alert goes out, we will adjourn and meet next week. Right now, my e-mail and Ryan's e-mail are down, so if you need me, please e-mail me at my personal e-mail [Kaylee.rains@ou.edu](mailto:Kaylee.rains@ou.edu). Today and tomorrow are elections for CAC and Congress and HCSA, so if you're on the ballot, good luck. Please tell everyone to vote. It is open until tomorrow on orgsync, and at Dale, the Union, or the Bookmark during business hours. As far as next week, please remember that I won't be here. I'll be in DC. We'll have nominations for these three positions, and we've only had a few people come talk to us. Please come talk to us. You have to be here during nominations and during elections in order to be eligible. You must have someone else nominate you. The nominator will give your nomination speech, and all candidates must be at the meeting on April 18<sup>th</sup> and April 4<sup>th</sup>. Also, this is your last weekend for committees, so if you have any legislation or projects, make sure to see them. We will be having all of our new members and start Session 98 at our next meeting. If you're a Representative or Associate and didn't win a Rep seat for Session 98, you won't be a member of Session 98. You need to come next week to close Session 97, but you can leave when we open Session 98. Seniors, I highly encourage you to still come, be sure to come for events on the 25<sup>th</sup>. Be sure to help out the new members as they come in. Finally, this is going to be a long meeting, you all saw the agenda, but every piece of legislation is important to see, so be respectful and give full attention and be nice. I'll call decorum if I need to. Come get food, go stretch your legs.

**Vice Chair's Report-** I'm just mimicking what Kaylee said. Make sure you stick around, be sure you're attentive. If you'd like to be a liaison, let me know. One of the important things about our body are committee chairs, so if you're interested in being a chair for Session 98, I encourage you to talk to the current Chair. A lot of us in Exec are outgoing, there are only about four of us that'll even be around. Be sure to talk to them if you're planning on applying. They are a spring of knowledge.

**Secretary's Report-** Hey everyone! Like they said, tonight's going to be a long meeting, so please be kind to me. If you haven't completed your constituent service or your office hour, please be sure to do so. If you haven't looked over the SLS PR opportunity for constituent service, please check your e-mail. If you have any questions concerning either, please be sure to ask. Also, please be sure to use amendment sheets for any amendment you make. If you don't give me an amendment sheet with the precise language of the amendment, it will not happen. That is a part of the amendment process.

#### **Committee Reports-**

- **Academic Affairs-** Hey everyone! Kim is the Chair but she isn't here yet. I wasn't at the meeting either. We didn't have any legislation, so we just recapped the projects we're working on. We couldn't pursue the project regarding the waitlist due to stipulations from the office, but the others ones are ongoing. We're looking at how we can move forward.
- **Communications-** As you can tell, pullovers are in. I think that only three people haven't gotten them, so please tell them to come grab them. Thanks to everyone that bought one! Cupcakes and Congress will be on April 7<sup>th</sup>, so please contact Haley, Kaylee, or myself with questions. Elections are today and tomorrow, so please be sure to PR that. Go vote yourselves as well.
- **Congressional Administration-** We had a long meeting last week. Next week, will be seeing redistricting. Be sure to check out the recommendations we offered, which are listed on the agenda.
- **External Affairs-** One of those bills we'll be seeing tonight was written by our committee. We're just working on projects and a few ongoing things. Nothing new to report.
- **Human Diversity-** We had a 6-hour meeting on Sunday and got some great stuff done. I'm really excited to present these bills. They will be seen in a block to save time.
- **Problems and Projects-** We had a productive meeting on Sunday. I am meeting with the chief of police soon, so that'll happen. If you have questions about campus safety, let me know. We'll be talking to Fiji. We're writing legislation for the water fountain labels and Turn Up for Transit is the 31<sup>st</sup>.
- **Sustainability-** Didn't see any legislation, actually tabled one regarding shredding, because OU already shreds recycled paper, so we're hoping to get locked paper recycling bins. We want to put that near the post office. We're waiting to hear back from Facilities Management on labeling recycling bins. Right now, this is Earth Month, so there's a lot of cool stuff going on. Go check out the calendar of events. Please recycle your packets.
- **Ways and Means-** So, on Sunday, we saw budgets for 12 hours. We were able to get a solid majority done, and we went for four hours yesterday, and went for five hours today, however, due to tech difficulties, we didn't finish it. Primary will be a lot, we will have questions, you will have questions, so please be sure to ask. Auxiliary is closing soon.

## **Liaison Reports**

### **Special Orders**

### **Student Concerns**

### **Old Business**

### **Items to Be Considered**

970102- An Act Establishing the Its On Us Taskforce (Rains) (HD- Rec of Do Pass)

#### **Representative Kelling sec Representative Carson**

Rains: For those of you that haven't heard about it, this is an SGA-wide initiative for the Its On US campaign. It is a nation-wide campaign started by VP Biden to stop sexual assault on college campuses. Almost every other Big 12 school has this organization as a student voice for victims. We are wanting to implement this at OU, and it'll be comprised of SGA members and community members. They will be appointed by the SGA President. The members will be responsible for programming during Its On Us Week in April every year, and we'll be working with GEC and Title IX, and we really want this to be student run. So, we've been working really hard on this, so we're very excited to get this going and hopefully get this passed and have some events!

Cordova: I'm new to Congress, but this is increasingly important to me, especially after the incident on the South Oval. Sexual assault is a problem, and this is our way to take a stand to that.

Davis: In Section 26, it says that the Chair is appointed by SGA. I was wondering why there's not an application?

Rains: Usually, the President's nomination process does include an application, but I'd be willing to include a friendly amendment to that end.

Owings: So you're saying that you want to bring students together, but doesn't the GEC do the same thing?

Rains: The GEC has their Step In, Speak Out program which is a great way for students to get involved, and they do have programs for specific organizations, but it isn't necessarily something you can get involved in without training. I've talked with Kathy Fahl and Title IX and they're completely on-board. This is more student-run.

Williams: So, since this is going to function as a Taskforce, will this be subject to the Open Meetings Act?

Rains: No, because this isn't formal and it doesn't have any power. We are required as a Student Congress to oblige by the OMA, but since the taskforce aren't the official representatives, they aren't subject to the Act. They will simply be promoting the awareness.

Mazeitis: *Would you accept a friendly amendment to strike the wording under the Chair section about there being three branches of SGA?*

Rains: *Yes*

Davis: How are the exec positions defined within the taskforce?

Rains: The taskforce will meet twice per week as the exec to plan events.

Davis: So, there's no cap as long as they're full time students and can serve. So that membership is the executive?

Echols: Was there any consideration as to whether or not there should be the advice or consent of the legislature.

Rains: We didn't think it was necessary because we haven't deemed that there isn't going to be a position with any power. Its not like the Election Chair. We did that for the Campus Climate Board, which gives them more autonomy. I very much value Congress' opinion, but I don't think that its necessary for this organization. I'd maybe be open to an amendment.

Owings: *It says that we're working with Title XI, not Title IX?*

Rains: *Scriveners*

Reid: This body wouldn't be making policy, would it?

Rains: No

#### **Motion to Extend Questioning by 5 Minutes Representative Chism sec Representative Bishop**

#### **Representative Chism Accepts Representative Kelling's Amendatory Motion to Extend Questions to the End of the List sec Representative Owings**

Williams: Would you consider this taskforce a public body? Public organization is a group of public members that make policy

Rains: No, because this is a promotional organization. It is public in that it is open to any and all students on campus so long as they're full-time students, in the same way that all students are members of SGA.

Carson: Is this the final legislation we're seeing regarding this committee, or will this be a chance to send it to the Daily?

Rains: The Daily has already done a piece on this, so unless there is an amended version or GSS sends it back, no.

Carson: *Would you accept a friendly amendment to send this to the OU Daily and OU Forum?*

Rains: *Yes*

Williams: Will there be a publication of any minutes from within the taskforce?

Rains: No, as I said previously, we aren't abiding by the Open Meetings Act because we aren't a policy making body.

Bishop: In Section 28 under Membership, it talks about the Chair approving applications. Does that mean that any student who applies is in?

Rains: Yes, as long as they're a full-time student.

Davis: *Would you accept a friendly amendment to change Section 29 to read from exec meeting to just biweekly meetings?*

Rains: *Yes*

Kalvacherla: How do you plan to advertise to the student body?

Rains: We'll work with the Daily, and we're going to get some stickers and do some other stuff.

Cordova: Hoping this passes, the week of April 10<sup>th</sup>, we're going to be doing some tabling, passing out fliers and stickers and giving people information on the South Oval, and we'll be having a general meeting where any student can come give ideas. It'll be a general meeting where everyone can submit ideas and questions. Those were our plans. Then we left it up to the taskforce to come up with events.

### **Motion to Move to a Roll Call Vote Representative James sec Representative Grunewald This bill passed by a vote of 34-1-0**

#### 970108- The Succession Act of 2017 (Reid) (ConAd- Rec of No Rec)

#### **Representative Mazeitis sec Representative Warner**

Reid: This is a fairly simple piece of legislation. It is called the succession act simply because it increases the line of succession regarding the presiding officer to extend to all committee chairs. Right now, it goes to ConAd Chair and WAM Chair. This would include the rest of the chairs. The way that I created this order was primarily by who deals with the student body directly, the most often. That's how I came up with it. I'm open to switching things around. The reason I wanted to do this is because I believe we were giving more power to the ConAd Chair and WAM Chair as opposed to the other chairships, which gives a preference to those positions.

Mazeitis: Did you consult with all of the committee chairs while you wrote this?

Reid: No, I just included the people that I got it to. The only reason that these chairs are on there is because these are who I show all of my legislation to.

Israel: What exactly was the point of making this?

Reid: one of the points was that we know list two of the committee chairs because we list two of the chairs, and I didn't see any reason why we shouldn't trust all of our committee chairs. I think it establishes a long line of people to take over the chairship.

Buxton: Why is this a better system than seniority? Couldn't seniority be a better indication?

Reid: I thought it'd be a better system because, when approving these chairs, we would take into account the fact that they could possibly preside over the body. Right now, what could happen is that someone that isn't qualified and isn't vetted could become the officer of the body. This would ensure a deep list of approved people to the presiding officer. These people have been approved by the Congress to preside.

Cordova: I understand your purpose, but how do you address the concern that this might deinceivize people from running for the lower chairships? I understand that you're ranking this by interaction, but I'm concerned that ranking them this way would dissuade people from running for these positions.

Reid: As I touched on during the ConAd meeting, it is presented that the current system does this by ranking the ConAd Chair and WAM Chair are both already placed above the other chairships.

Cordova: If you already recognize that this already happens with ConAd and WAM, why make it worse?

Reid: That's a fair question. A lot of it then, is whether or not we make it so that every chair is ranked, I think it created an opportunity to have everyone approved by the body. If the worry is that they are being incentivized to run or not run for a certain position, then the only answer is to do all of them or do none of them.

Owings: Is there any way to confirm or define seniority for the line of succession for discussion who was first?

Reid: There isn't any defined list or order beyond institutional of who was here and who was not.

ThompsonM: Would you agree with the perception of seeing ranking incentivizes people to run for the positions at the top? Couldn't you also argue that some might run for lower positions because they don't want to chair?

### **Motion to Close the List Representative Lalani sec Representative Brown**

#### **Objection**

**Motion does not carry via a hand vote**

### **Motion to Move to the End of the List Representative Bishop sec Representative Lewis**

Reid: Yes

Kelling: This came from the committee meeting, so let me ask you a hypothetical committee, and you got elected to see where you find yourself, would you feel slighted that you weren't listed?

Reid: As the PR Chair a year ago, I felt slighted when I wasn't on the list when two others were.

Davis: So would you say that this list just gives you the next highest officer in addition to the officer's duties?

Reid: I think I might have overlooked that when writing this.

Davis: When clarifying these other argument, doesn't this tell you what to do if someone's seat is vacant?

Reid: Although the overall section under vacancies is the section C, we are talking about sub-section 2, which does list those officers in that ranking

Davis: Right, but it says who is going to fill the seat.

### **Motion to Table Indefinitely Representative Kelling sec Representative Nigh**

#### 970110- The Vice-Chair Definition Act of 2017 (Reid) (ConAd- Rec of Do Not Pass)

#### **Representative Davis sec Representative Brown**

Reid: This bill is one that I've had in the works for quite a while. It is updating and clarifying and amending the responsibilities of the Vice-Chair. I think that, in my personal experience, and I know this from my predecessor, that when the Vice-Chair comes into the office, frequently, their responsibilities are rather vague. It allows the Vice-Chair to shape their role. In other ways, it is very hindering, especially with regard to committees. So, I've worked to try to help that. The thing that I'll call you to first, it does state that this will only come into effect when the next Vice-Chair comes into power. I won't be taking part. I don't want to make it seem that I'm trying to give my role more responsibility. The first thing I changed was instituting an advisory role to the Chair. The next thing that I did was state that the Vice-Chair should write and update the hand book. The next portion I changed was about committees, now it says that the Vice-Chair will guide and assist to the best of their abilities. The Vice-Chair should be a guide and not a boss. The next portion I'll need a friendly amendment for, and what it would read to be that the Chair and Vice-Chair shall agree and make the initial referral to committee of legislation. Should the Chair and Vice-Chair disagree, the legislation shall be seen in the Executive Committee. The Vice-Chair will also see the legislation and report back on it.

Cassidy: About your proposed friendly amendment, do you think that it would add extra complication to assigning a bill to the committee?

Reid: No, because the VC will either agree or disagree.

Davis: Would you accept a friendly amendment adding the duties of the liaison act to this?

Reid: No, but we'll get back to you.

Cordova: What would the process look like if the Chair and Vice-Chair disagree?

Reid: It would go to Exec. The current wording is what's in the bill now.

Lewis: Considering that the Vice-Chair is a member of every committee, doesn't this give the Vice-Chair bias to put legislation through a committee that might be more favorable?

Reid: No, because the body would be aware of where it should go. The body can appeal any decision of the Chair, including legislation assigning. With the instatement of this amendment, it would make it so that there was a check.

Owings: Could you describe the reason given by the ConAd committee in giving this a Rec of Do not Pass?

Reid: It was specifically pertaining to the section I want to change, as I understood.

Lewis: In our minutes, you can see that, in the state and federal government, the chair directs where the legislation goes. To have a partnership between them ensures that everything is going to the proper place.

Cassidy: The current process is appealable to the body, but the process by which the Chair and Vice-Chair disagree and it goes to Exec... doesn't that bypass the body?

Reid: No, because the body could appeal it.

Kelling: *Would you accept the friendly amendment that you requested?*

Reid: *Yes*

Buxton: If all actions by the Chair are appealable by the body, then what is the point of having two people agree on something? What is the point of having two people do it?

### **Motion to Extend Time by Five Minutes Representative Chism sec Representative Nigh**

Reid: The primary reason that I wanted this in there was because I believe that it would be a good way to make sure that its going to the proper committee in a quick process. If the decision by both is objected to, then it could be appealed.

Buxton: Do you think that the Chair isn't choosing the right committees, or hasn't in the past?

Reid: No, I think that the Chair has done a wonderful job, and I think that previous Chairs have done a great job. The reason that I want to add it to the By-Laws is because I believe that it can be made better.

Davis: *Would you accept a friendly amendment adding the duties of the Vice-Chair under the liaison update act?*

Reid: *Yes*

Mazeitis: Considering the number of things that the Chair does autonomously, why, in this specific instances, does the Chair need the Vice-Chair's approval?

Reid: I think that this is one of the only instances wherein the process involves both legislation and committees. I think that it is a very simple little ad-on to the process to make sure that everything is ok.

Davis: Do you think that this addition, and especially since there are the new addition to the legislation, that its just more for the Vice-Chair to do, specifically when the Chair already does it?

Reid: A lot of the time, the Vice-Chair doesn't have a lot of administrative duties during the meeting. I think that this bill helps members to pull their weight. There are a lot of times when I'm in my office when I have very little to do. In the front, there have been a number of times when I've done nothing. This allows the Vice-Chair to have a more substantial person and pull their weight in the Exec.

Rains: I withdraw my support for the amendment.

Reid: OK

Lalani: *After hearing that it received a Rec of Do Not Pass, would you be open to striking that friendly amendment and keeping the section as it currently stands in the Code, but keeping the part that the Vice-Chair maintains a report to the Chair of each piece of legislation?*

Reid: *No, I trust in the body and if they would like to take it out, I trust you. I believe that it should be in there.*

Davis: Going back to what you said

### **Motion to Extend Questions by 5 Minutes Representative Chism sec Representative Davis 5 Objection**

**The motion fails by a hand vote**

**Motion to Move to the End of the List Representative Lewis sec Representative Mazeitis**

Davis: Going back to what you said about the Vice-Chair not having much to do, won't the liaison program give you something to do? That gives you a whole program to advise. With that in mind, and considering how much you're doing, is adding this clause necessary?

Reid: I don't think that this one little yes or no clause adds a lot of responsibility. If I'm understanding you correctly, I don't think that its too much responsibility. I think that what you stated earlier is simply what was stated in the liaison portion. We already have a portion including that, so I wanted to formally state that. I don't know how that portion adds to the responsibilities of the Vice-Chair. I know that this portion takes about two seconds on a Tuesday night.

Lewis: Considering that you made this legislation so that it pertained to the next Vice-Chair, why is it up to you determine what the Vice-Chair does?

Reid: Because they don't know how to be the Vice-Chair, and I can assure you that both Kaylee and Jake can attest that they didn't know how to do their job until they had it for awhile.

Bishop: Trying to go off of what Rep Lewis was saying, do you not think that the decisions of people who are going to run for Vice-Chair are running on what they thought it could be?

Reid: I would say that these people haven't run yet, and they would be running on what it says now. You don't apply for a job if you don't like what it has changed to. I am not changing it for a specific person.

Rains: Are you aware of the current Speaker pro of the OK House or Federal House have this power?

Reid: I am aware, but while we try to model ourselves after those bodies, we are not those bodies.

Mazeitis; Would you be willing to wait until you had the next Vice-Chair in order to guide them in changing the Vice-Chair guidelines?

Reid: No, because I believe that, of the people, in the body, at the moment, I know the role of the Vice-Chair best. They have no idea what is going to happen. I think that I have the best interest of them and their successors at heart. I haven't written a piece of legislation that I didn't think would help.

### **Motion to Move to a Roll Call Vote Representative Nigh sec Representative Kelling**

#### **Objection**

**Motion fails by a hand vote**

#### **Debate**

### **Motion to Move a Hostile Amendment Representative Bishop sec Representative Mazeitis**

Bishop Hostile Amendment

**This hostile amendment passes by a roll call vote of 20-6-6**

### **Motion to Move 2 Hostile Amendments as a Block Representative Lalani sec Representative Owings**

Lalani Hostile Amendment

**This hostile amendment passes by a roll call vote of 29-3-0**

### **Motion to Previous Question Representative Reid sec Representative Nigh**

**This bill passes by a vote of 29-2-1**

### **Move to Table Remaining Legislation for a Week and Move Directly to Items for Future Agenda Representative Kelling sec Representative Grunewald**

970113- Continuity of Representation Act (Kovach) (ConAd- Rec of Do Pass)

970302- A Resolution Addressing Hate Speech Directed at the African/Black Communities (Simmons) (HD- Rec of Do Pass)

970303- A Resolution Addressing Hate Speech Directed at the LGBTQ+ Community (Rivera) (HD- Rec of Do Pass)

970304- A Resolution Addressing Hate Speech Directed at the Muslim Community (Ryana) (HD- Rec of Do Pass)

970305- A Resolution Addressing Hate Speech Directed at People with Disabilities (Klima) (HD- Rec of Do Pass)

970306- A Resolution Addressing Hate Speech Directed at the Asian and Pacific Islander Communities (Klima) (HD- Rec of Do Pass)

970307- A Resolution Addressing Hate Speech Directed at the Native American Community (Klima) (HD- Rec of Do Pass)

970308- A Resolution Addressing Hate Speech Directed at the Indian and Hindu Communities (Thomas/Warner) (HD- Rec of Do Pass)

970309- A Resolution Addressing Hate Speech Directed at the Jewish Community (Israel) (HD- Rec of Do Pass)

970310- A Resolution Addressing Hate Speech Directed at the Latinx and Hispanic Communities (Rubio Regalado) (HD- Rec of Do Pass)

**Motion to Suspend the Rules and Move 970302-970310 as a Block Representative Kelling sec Representative James**

**Motion to Suspend the Rules and Move to Make Authors Explanation 20 mins and Questions 20 mins ,Extendable Once, Representative Kelling sec Representative James**

Simmons: The first bill regards the African and Black communities. We had Whereas clauses about how African and Black communities are being targeted, and we had examples of hate speech against Black and African communities. We also put in Whereas clauses in about how the University supports these communities and other instances of hate speech that haven't been on campus but have happened against the communities. I'd also like to note that there is a scrivener's error regarding the B in Black

Riviera: The next resolution is on the LGBTQ+ resolution, which is a community that has received slurs and death threats. I met with the QSA president and many of his members have faced fears about acceptance on campus. We want to make sure that our on-campus LGBTQ+ community understands that they have a place on campus, and that while we won't oppose people's freedom of speech, we will support them to the best of our abilities. We want to make sure there's no one threatening their ability to grow and learn.

Ryana: This resolution addresses Islamophobia, which has been on the rise and is affecting a lot of our Muslim students, and those of similar descent. The purpose of this bill is to address that. I think it's important, as I mention in the Whereas clauses, to know that over 60% of Muslim American face discrimination. We know that OU is no place for hateful rhetoric, and while we support freedom of speech, we don't believe that this campus is a place for hateful rhetoric.

Klima: I want to give you all a disclaimer. We are not stomping on your first amendment rights. We think that people have the right to express themselves with hate speech, but what we're saying as the Undergraduate Student Congress is that we don't promote hate speech. We won't support organizations that engage in hate speech. This resolution addresses hate speech directed at people with disabilities. Hate crime victims have high levels of depression, stress, and anger, which is one of the primary reasons we want to address this. School age youth and young adults are particularly affected, which is our demographic. We want you all to be aware that this happens on our campus. We've spoken to over 20 organizations, so we're not expecting to stop hate speech, but what we do hope is that people will read these bills and that these student organizations will know that we understand and respect their concerns. Hate speech is welcome as a first amendment right, but not welcome by me as the Chair of Human Diversity. Our campus is open to everyone and welcoming, and hate speech does not make our campus welcoming. The next resolution address Asian-American and Pacific Islander communities. These comprise about 6% of our student body, which is a large part of our student body. We spoke to multiple organizations representing these individuals, and they have reported examples of discrimination and hate speech. The next resolution regards the Native American community. Oklahoma has a rich Native American history, and I myself have Native heritage. An example of hate speech that is often ignored is that facing Native Americans. We are respecting the right of all students to use their first amendment rights, but these bills are about working together to ensure the most inclusive campus that celebrates diversity.

Warner: This resolution addresses hate speech towards the Indian and Hindu communities. They are a rapidly growing population, and the stereotypes set against these kinds of communities, are often considered to be the "not offensive" kind of stereotyping. We want to stress that hate speech directed against these communities is wrong. If you look at the 5<sup>th</sup> Whereas Clause, it notes how prevalent race-based hate crime is.



We are striving to return to the origins of our campus. David Ross Boyd didn't strive to create hate speech, he wanted to create an inclusive campus. That's our goal.

Israel: The next resolution addresses Anti-Semitism. The definition relates to Israel and Jewish identity. We also included a clause about how criticism of Israel as a country is not Anti-Semitic. We are countering bad speech with good speech. Incidents and examples were drawn from Jewish students, and we didn't cite that due to anonymity. This body would accept definitions from the State Department, and we also encourage Jewish people to form their own definition. We are not infringing on hate speech. I do have a friendly amendment I will need to change, in Section 4, I need to add both nationally and globally its own.

Rubio: Hello everyone! I just wanted to reiterate that this isn't restrictive of free speech. If you want to say bad things, we don't want you to, but we don't want to stop you. Mine was targeted at the Latinx and Hispanic communities. Latinx meaning not conforming to gender, Spanish being in the South, and Hispanic referring to Spanish-speakers.

Kovach: *Would you accept a friendly amendment to add "and globally" after internationally?*

Israel: *Yes*

Chism: Can we clarify what the 2015 SAE incident was?

Simmons: The fraternity SAE, that used to be here, had an incident where they were on the bus and they did a chant that portrayed African-Americans in a negative way and was racially charged. It was an incident that caused a lot of African-Americans to feel attacked, and OU addressed it, but we wanted to stress this incident.

Buxton: Why was it important for the issue of hate speech to be divided into separate bills? Why wasn't it just a bill against hate speech?

Klima: Because different types of communities face different types of hate speech, so we wanted to split them into a different set of bills. We want everyone to feel like they're being addressed. We thought the issues that were present deserved their own legislation.

Kalvacherla: *Regarding 970304, Looking at the 9<sup>th</sup> Whereas and it talks clause talks about Rep Bennett. Would you all accept a friendly amendment sending a copy of this resolution to him?*

Klima: *Yes*

Owings: For each of these bills that don't contain any specific examples, can you provide specific examples or provide citations?

Riviera: Personally when I spoke to the QSA president, I was asked not to include specific names or experiences because they may not want specific names included in the legislation. They aren't just making it up. They want respect for their privacy.

Klima: A lot of these instances of hate speech go unreported, so we thought that it would be in everyone's best interest. So, we chose not to leave out specific incidents. We just put in SAE because it was so widely publicized.

Israel: I'd be happy to include personal anecdotes about the Jewish community

Echols: *Would you accept a friendly amendment adding another section saying that the USG condemns the International BDS organization based on the ADL's explanation of them?*

Israel: *No, because I think that the body would take too much of a political position on the issue, and I want to stay away from the Israel-Palestine conflict.*

Klima: We would love to say condemn because personally I think we all condemn hate speech, but I think we get into a slippery slope when we start to condemn organizations because it might not look like that to other people. I do appreciate

Brown: Can you explain why you decided to go with Black instead of African-American?

Simmons: We decided to go with both because it is more inclusive of both the African and African-American population. Some of the African population doesn't like to be called African, they prefer to be called African. We thought that African or Black heritage would be more inclusive.

Reid: Would you accept a friendly amendment changing every bill in Section 4 to say that we will avoid active and passive support of hate-speech spouting organizations?

Kelling: *Would you accept a friendly amendment on all of these bills to add the local affiliates/TV stations?*

Klima: *Yes*

Reid: *We have, in the past, as a body, condemned and recognized groups as hate groups on this campus and in general, including the Identity Europa group, which sets a precedent for such. Would you accept a friendly amendment adding the International BDS organization to the legislation and stating that Congress condemns their action?*

Klima: *Yes, but we will need to add further citation. We will get one for you.*

Owings: You claim multiple times that you are trying not to limit freedom of speech, but how are you not limiting freedom of speech by saying that we won't take direct or passive action?

Klima: We're saying that you can say what you want, but that we won't support you.

Echols: *Would you accept a friendly amendment adding me as a co-author?*

Israel: *Yes*

Davis: We had the question about Black and African, but I personally identify as African-American, so would you accept a friendly amendment adding Black, African, and AFAM?

Mazeitis: *Would you consider adding AFAM to 970302?*

Riviera: *Yes*

Chism: Regarding Native Americans, why do we list several tribes listing tribes in the beginning, and then say "not limited to"?

Klima: These were the first nine that came up when I searched it, so I wanted everyone to know they were included.

Carson: *Would you accept a friendly amendment explaining Latinx and what region it refers to?*

Rubio: *Yes*

Davis: *Would you accept a friendly amendment adding a large number of Black organizations?*

Simmons: *Yes*

Owings: In some situations, could certain political groups, be adversely affected in the SGA funding process regarding active and process engagement in hate speech? For example, in the LGBTQ+ resolution, if a group opposes gay marriage and speaks out against it, would they suffer any financial repercussions?

Riviera: Gay marriage has already been decided, so we're just saying that we don't support people that practice hate speech. We are addressing the community itself and its safety, so no, we wouldn't be.

Rains: Regarding the monetary funds, it all has to abide by non-discrimination rules. None of that plays a factor when funding is decided.

Owings: In the second to last whereas clause of 970302, it claims that there are events that have occurred against the communities at OU, and then cites OSU. Is there a reason?

Klima: In Section 2 of every bill, we discuss how things have occurred nationally and on college campuses. The publicity of blackface has not happened at OU as of yet, but it has happened across the nation, including our sister college OSU. WE want to bring attention that this is happening and we want to prevent future action, but I do see what you're saying.

Kelling: *Concerning your bill, would you accept a friendly amendment to add Kasey Catlett?*

Riviera: *Yes*

Mazeitis: *Would you consider a friendly amendment to add WGS to the list?*

Riviera: *Yes*

Chism: *Would you accept a friendly amendment striking the tribes in 307?*

Klima: *Yes*

Owings: 970307, in the second to last whereas clause, you state native American sovereignty not considered hate speech under the precarious situation that sovereignty holds in American federal law?

Klima: Its been phrased in a manner that's been disparaging to Native Americans

Warner: They are using their political stance to covertly be racist and use hate speech.

Owings: 970310, In whereas #6, you state that there is an issue with financial aid and financial assistance, when most are need-based?

Rubio: When you look at this community, being an immigrant is common. Immigrants usually struggle to find funding, especially when they're undocumented. That's what we meant by it.

Mazeitis: *Would you strike the non-Muslim student leaders and add MSA to this list?*

Klima: *Yes*

Ennis: *Would you be willing to add a friendly amendment to Section 7 to send copies of this resolution to The Mighty?*

Klima: *Yes*

Kelling: Concerning all of these bills, I'd like to hear it reiterated that groups that have a vested interest in this situations, correct?

Klima: We went through a series of debates on how to include as many people as possible on hate speech and hate crimes, but no, we didn't give final copies of the bills to each organization.

Israel: They agree with the content, but maybe not the verbage.

Echols: *Would you accept a friendly amendment to 970309 adding the Executive and Assistant Director of Hillel?*

Israel: *Yes*

Reid: On 970302, under the people we're sending it to, why are Chelsea Davis, Patrick Graham, etc. listed as a sublist under Keonte?

Davis: There is an MPHC president, and then there are organizations under it?

Reid: So those are all subgroups of that organization?

Davis: The first person isn't included.

Klima: You said you were going to update the list right? We must have been going off of outdated OrgSync legislation.

Mazeitis: *Would you accept a friendly amendment to add Native American student leaders as well as the Native American Studies Department?*

Klima: *Yes*

Owings: 970302, you state in the first whereas clause that 8% student body is considered Black, but in Whereas Clause 6, you state that 8% of staff and faculty members are also Black. How is that a disparity in hiring?

### **Motion to Move to the End of the List Representative Reid sec Representative Cordova**

Klima: Because the employees are so dispersed across employees, some of them aren't full-time, some aren't here, they took a leave of absence, etc. That's almost 9,000 employees, which includes people that work on other locations. It makes it harder for people with this heritage to find easily accessible staff members and faculty members are not there.

Simmons: When you walk around campus and you associate with the African, AFAM, and Bacl communities, its hard to find people that relate to you that come from your same background. It is hard because of how big campus is, and not knowing where you can find these people.

Israel: You can also note that 5% is pretty abysmal, considering how many students there are on this question.

Owings: 970303, in Wheraes clause number 11, you note that trans students can't use the bathroom that conforms to their gender identity. However, isn't that up to the states since the federal directive was removed?

Riviera; This highlights that not every student in every state has the same protections, specifically those students in those communities

Owings: Considering the large range of resolutions in this block and the number of communities, is hate speech from minority groups to majority groups considered hate speech?

Israel: If you're referring to white males, no, its not a thing.

Kelling: Regarding the groups involved, they all agree with the content and want these bills?

Klima; Content wise, they all agreed to it. We wanted to stress that we aren't condemning hate speech, but we are taking time out of schedules to write this legislation and let them know that we hear their concerns and make sure that our campus is beautiful and inclusive. We do intend to follow up with our constituents and let them know what the final version looks like?

Mazeitis; Would you accept a friendly amendment striking the President of the United World from 970310 because he's only represented in one bill?

Rubio: No,

Echols: *On the Asian and Pacific Islander bill, the third whereas clause you left "and defined it here". Was that a scrivener's?*

Klima: *Yes*

Echols: What is Advancing Justice?

Klima; It is an organization where people can go online and report hate crimes. They're new, but they do a great job.

Echols: *Would you accept a friendly amendment striking "define it here" and replace it with an explanation of the organization?*

Klima: *Yes*

Williams: So, these are some great resolution and I appreciate you bringing them forward. Where do they go from here if they pass?

Klima: We hope to bring copies of these bills to each member on this bill and keep open the dialogue and let them know that, if these instances happen, they can come to anyone in the Congress and we will address them together. We want to bring attention to what happens on campus. A lot of these communities felt that they were not being heard, so we want to make sure people know that hate speech exists on campus. We want to affirm solidarity within the body.

Riviera; We want these communities to know they can reach out to the HD community if they have problems.

Reid: *Would you accept a friendly amendment adding the President of the United World to any non-immigrant focused community?*

Klima: *Yes*

Mazeitis: *Will you be accepting co-sponsors?*

Klima: *Yes*

### **Motion to Move to a Roll Call Vote Representative Kelling sec Representative Reid Roll This bill passed by a roll call vote of 34-1-1**

970509- Auxiliary #12 (Echols) (WAM- Rec of Do Pass)

#### **Representative Chism sec Representative Ryana**

Echols: Alpha Phi Alpha requested \$10,100 for food and beverage, American Mock World Health Organization requested \$1,162 for rentals and food, Brothers Under Christ requested \$30,250 for an island party, Business Law Society requested \$800 for food, Delta Sigma Theta requested \$6,700 for dues, Economics Club requested \$475 for rentals and food, the Federal Bar Association requested \$1,000 for a judge to come speak, Filipino Student Association requested \$975 for a culture night, HCSA requested \$2,295 for musicians, decorations, and food, the Indian Student Association requested \$7,700 for rentals and food, the Independent Greek Council requested \$4,185 for printing, the Iranian Student Association for \$17,850 for food and beverages, the Korean Student Association requested \$250 for rentals, the Latin Dance Club requested \$4,750 for a live salsa band, the National Science Teachers Association for \$277.8 for registration dues, Oklahoma Club Golf requested \$200 for range expenses, Oklahoma Intercollegiate Legislature requested \$5,740 for hotel registration and food, Oklahoma Sailing Club requested \$600 for rentals, ONE Emergency Medical Services requested \$15,526 for bone splint kits, the OU Triathlon Team requested \$145.04 for a rental car, OUR Earth requested \$300 for food supplies and rentals, Public Health Discussions requested \$150 for pizza and promotion, the Rocket Team requested \$2,580 for competition fees, the SGA Undergraduate Student Congress requested \$1,600 for cupcakes and congress, Sigma Lambda Beat requested \$1,650 for food, promotion, supplies, and a DJ, the Society of Physics Students requested \$112.98 study nights and a movie night, the Society of Women Engineers requested \$1,300 for meeting expenses, Sooner Tennis Club requested \$564.74 for tournament and match fees, Student Healthcare Outreach requested \$1,720 for food, Swipe to Share requested \$215 for event promotion, Women in Business Association requested \$450 for end of year banquet, and the Young Democratic Socialists requested \$510 for pizza. There is 69, 502. 97 in Auxiliary. This has been backed up due to primary budgets, spring break, and a scheduled absence on my part. We saw this all at the same time and didn't give weight based on time of submission.

Kelling: For the ones that are for a speaker, do you all confirm that they applied for Speaker's Bureau?

Echols: We check, however, all we look at is outside funding. Whether or not they applied isn't the problem. Under our code, they'd be penalized if they asked for Bureau funds. Under KSA, all the different sections are zero and the total is \$250.

Borrmann: For BUX, are they SGA?

Echols: No, but they are an RSO, so SGA funding is available to them.

Ryana: I'm the liaison for OneEMS and they want to provide organizations with medical services. They received less than five times what the Latin dance club got for a live salsa dance.

Echols: It is primarily based on financial history, which means that they always spend their money. Therefore, older organizations usually get more money. We take scope and specificity into account, but not the specific thing they do.

Owings: For some of these orgs asking for over 10 grand, what were they applying for?

Echols: I could give you specifics of what they want, but I think that's outside the purview of this body. They have a lot of programs. For example, the lacrosse team asked for money to pay for coaches fees and we get requests for t-shirts sometimes too that we can't fund.

Bishop: You said that you take into account fiscal history. Do you take into account changing leadership, specifically with regard to OIL?

Echols: Yes it does, there is actually a question in the supplemental form that asks for a change in leadership explanation. We use that question to supersede fiscal history to a small extent. We do take that into consideration and usually give a higher allocation.

Reid: Are you aware that BUX, when admitting members, informs that they aren't allowed to join if they are homosexual because it violates their code of conduct?

Echols: No

Ryana: How many times can someone apply for Aux funding?

Echols: Three times per semester.

Reid: *Would you accept a friendly amendment striking Brothers Under Christ from the legislation?*

Echols: *No, because the allocation we gave them pertained specifically to our funding criteria.*

Kelling: *Would you be open to a friendly amendment to remove BUX pending a review by GC of this situation?*

Echols: *No, because they technically broken any University obligations. However, my understanding of the fiscal responsibility clause of the Code means that they are held responsible for repayment.*

Layne: Can I have some clarification for what the funding for BUX was? If it is an Island party, why is the University funding something like that?

Echols: A Greek organization, if they're an RSO, is allowed to request funds. It is still important to note that what we fund isn't general terms. It is line-item set. They are things that we, as a body have agreed to fund.

Layne: What would happen if there was alcohol at the event?

Motion to Extend Time by 5 Minutes Representative Reid sec Representative Klima

Echols: In terms of whether or not alcohol could be present, it is outside the scope of what our committee is allowed to review. However, I would love to see a follow-up with someone in Student Affairs.

Kuketz: Have we funded BUX in the past?

Echols: From my understanding, this is the first time we've seen them.

Hedgorth: Why is it listed that BUX has a total request of 30,000 when this is there first time requesting funds?

Echols: They can request whatever they want. Our criteria relate to what they actually receive.

Rains: Do we have any specific proof about their code of conduct?

Echols: As far as I know, as long as its been accepted by Student Affairs, we take it that they don't have any problems with their standing.

Debate

**Motion to Move a Hostile Amendment Representative Reid sec Representative Grunewald**

Reid Hostile Author

Hostile Amendment Withdrawn

## **Motion to Move to Previous Question Representative James sec Representative Mazeitis**

Objection

Motion carries

**This bill passes by a roll call vote of 18-11-5**

970709- The Liaison Update Act of 2017 (Reid) (ConAd- Rec of Do Pass)

Representative Davis sec Representative Bishop

Reid: This act is just updating policy that I've had in place for the past year. I've found that by making the liaison program a volunteer program instead of a mandatory initiative for every congress member, we have found an uptick in active participation. Just a week or two ago, we had the most liaison reports any senior member could remember. If it works, it should keep happening.

Owings: Is this bill just codifying what we've been doing this session?

Reid: Yes

Davis: *Would you accept a friendly amendment saying that any member of the student body or Student Congress may be appointed?*

Reid: *No, because not every member of the student body is a member of Congress so they can't be congressional liaisons.*

Williams: To say that, for the last two sections, you've made it non-mandatory as the Vice-Chair, why?

Reid: Because my predecessor used this as a mandatory program and what I discovered as a presentative is that not a lot of people wanted to take part. It was a chore, they had to figure out who they wanted their liaison to be. While we all have to do constituent service and those thing, being a liaison for a group that you're not passionate about is slightly counterproductive. Allowing it to be a volunteer program allows members to help with organizations that they're passionate about like OneEMS or the Men's Lacrosse Team.

Ryana: Before, as it was mandatory, members of Congress would go out to different RSO's and be liaisons. For some people, at first I didn't know about OneEMS and being required to be a liaison was a way for me to get involved, so do you think that going from making this mandatory to voluntary will prevent this from happening?

Reid: While I believe that there is a possibility of that happening, I would believe in the members of our body and have found that that belief in them by making it voluntary has created a much more active participation in the program. I hear what you're saying, but I'd hope that people see this and would like to be a liaison either way. I believe in the body.

Kovach: Personally, I've served as the liaison to specific clubs, but they didn't need me to be there every month. In practice, this semester, I've been acting as a liaison for four different clubs, which allows me to meet them when I need to meet, instead of when I needed to fulfill my own constituent service hour.

Brown: If you were to give an organization a liaison from Congress, and the liaison dropped but the group still wanted one, could they still get in contact with you so that they can have a liaison?

## **Motion to Extend Time by 5 Minutes Representative Kelling sec Representative Ryana**

Reid: Absolutely they can still contact the Vice-Chair. I can appoint someone to be a liaison. What would more likely happen is that I need a liaison for HASA, and I would tell you all and ask if anyone wanted to be one. If there wasn't one, then I'd appoint someone to that position.

Davis: My concern is that eliminating this wording will allow people to come to Congress that aren't a part of Congress and be a liaison. By only making it a student congress member who can be a liaison, doesn't it make it so that people can't come and be liaisons?

Reid: This stands with the policy as it stood before this bill. If an organization wants to appoint a liaison to Congress, they could send someone to Congress. This deals with the Vice-Chair appointing liaisons from within Congress to the student body.

Kelling: Could you explain why you eliminated the list?

Reid: I had left it in before we got to ConAd, and Sec Mazeitis proposed that we delete the list because we didn't want to exclude groups that want a liaison. The happy middle that we found was that the Vice-Chair shall maintain a list of organizations that can receive a liaison.

Williams: Do you think that this change will cause the death of the liaison program?

Reid: No

Kovach: I don't think so. I serve as a liaison for several different RSO's. My motivation for being in Congress is to help students, so the motivation is still there and the constituent service requirement is still there for me. It doesn't strip the motivation from any student. Anybody that would join the body without that motivation should question why they're here.

Reid: The whole idea of this was that, for the last year, it has increased interest, so I think it would do the opposite. The other thing is that the liaison program is codified. Even if the liaison program interest dips, the program is still there. If anything, it would drive the Vice-Chair to promote it to the body even more.

Buxton: To me, there's a difference between being a part of an organization and going because you're a liaison. By making it voluntary, do you worry that it'll make organization less aware that they can reach out to organizations?

### **Motion to Extend Questions to the End of the List Representative Buxton sec Representative James**

Kovach: I've been on both sides of that. If it's already mandatory, people find an organization they're already a part of. To fulfill that requirement, I just needed permission from someone I was already friends with to be their liaison. Now, when I go, I act as a representative, instead of being there because I have to.

Reid: During my time before I was the Vice-Chair, I know that the way that I ticked off this requirement was by being a liaison to the Student Theater Initiative. I know that a lot of members of Congress did that as well. Dan pointed out earlier how many members of Congress were members of other organizations.

James: Where did it actually say that it was mandatory before?

Reid: Within the wording, it didn't claim that it was mandatory specifically, but it was common practice and it was used by Vice-Chair previously. However, the idea of putting this in as voluntary is after weighing the option of using one or the other and finding out, as the Vice-Chair, that this works better. I believe that future Vice-Chairs should do the same.

Layne: Have you considered it from the other side, as a way for Congress to be educated about other RSO's or having a way for RSO's to formally request a liaison? For example, there are often frustrations with funding, etc.

Ahmadi: There is no formalized process, however, there was at one time, it wasn't geared towards student organizations, but rather organizations in the By-Laws. It was changed to a more informal process three years ago.

Reid: I think that your idea of making it a formality would be a wonderful idea to pursue. It could certainly nip in the bud the idea that being a liaison is voluntary and that RSO's aren't hearing about it.

Ryana: Looking into the future of the overall result of changing this, do you think that changing this will allow for more RSO's to be reached and for their voices to be heard?

Reid: I believe that it'll increase the interest and amount of RSO's reached. It is any organization in the campus or Norman communities. As Chase pointed out, it was just one. Now, he's a liaison for four different organizations, which is an awesome example of being able to grow without them knowing there was a previous one.

Kelling: Back to the eliminating the list thing, do you think that by not listing these prominent organizations that they could be missed and wouldn't receive a liaison?

Reid: I think that having a list of organizations in the by-laws and having a list the Vice-Chair maintains, I think having a list in the By-Laws is the worst decisions because it restricts it for future Vice-Chairs. Organizations like BSA and HASA aren't on the list, and by cutting the list, it allows me to add those organizations. It allows more flexibility in that department.

Bishop: Would you say that the purpose of the liaison program is to reach out to RSO's that are potentially not as prominent in order to bring them into the fold of SGA? For example the Men's Lacrosse Team had never registered until I told them. Or, is it to check these boxes?

Reid: While I see where you're coming from, I believe that the idea of the liaison program is not to reach the minor organizations, but rather to reach any organization that wants a voice in the Congress. We are providing the means to allow that. If a minor organization wants a voice in the Congress, they can get in

touch with us. I would list certain multicultural organizations as minor due to the number of students involved, just like I would include the ghost hunting club, because they're small.

Cassidy: *In order to maintain similar wording throughout the section, would you be open to a friendly amendment adding "And in the Norman community"?*

Reid: *Yes*

**Motion to Move to a Roll Call Vote Representative Mazeitis sec Representative Nigh**  
**This bill passed by a roll call vote of 34-1-0**

970710- The Appointment Membership Act (Reid) (ConAd- Rec of No Rec)

**New Business**

**Follow-Up Reports**

**Items for Future Agenda**

970115- An Act Amending the Duties of the SUS Chair (ConAd)

970510- Aux 13 (WAM)

970311- A Res Regarding Hate Speech Against All Communities Not Mentioned (HD)

**Motion to Adjourn Representative Reid sec Representative Warner**

**Announcements and Comments**

**Final Roll Call**

Chair: Kaylee Rains

Vice-Chair: Austin Reid

Secretary: Jake Mazeitis



<b>Session 97</b>	<b>General 3-28</b>
Baker, Colin	abs
Bergman, Victoria	x
Bishop, Kimberley	x
Bormann, Andrew	x
Brown, Kandro	x
Buckley, Anna-Gray	x
Buxton, Jillian	x
Carpenter, Daniel	ex
Carson, Haley	x
Chism, Hennessey	x
Cordova, Mackenzie	x
Cox, Reece	x
Curley, Nicholas	x
D'Silva, Jordan	x
Davis, Syleste	x
Do, Khanh	x
Grunewald, John	x
Guidot, Ashley	abs
Hedgorth, Joseph	x
Hoefke, Madeleine	abs
James, Madison	x
Kalvacherla, Vinay	x
Kelling, Taylor	x
Klima, Alexandra	x
Kovach, Chase	x
Kuketz, Timothy	x
Lalani, Sanah	x
Layne, Alexander	x
Lewis, Danielle	x
Mazeitis, Jake	x
McClintic, Alexander	x
Nigh, Ryan	x
Owings, Brian	x
Pham, Daniel	abs
Quick, Sam	ex
Regalado Rubio, Carlos	x
Reid, Austin	x
Ryana, Naomee	x

Simmons, Jonathan	x
Thompson, Gabi	ex
Thompson, Maddy	x
Warner, Mason	x
Williams, Dan	x
Yawn, Jon	ex
<b>Present</b>	36
<b>Absent</b>	4
<b>Excused</b>	4

<b>Sessio n 97</b>	<b>970 102</b>	<b>970 108</b>	<b>970 110</b>	<b>H A 1</b>	<b>HA 2/3</b>	<b>970 113</b>	<b>970 302</b>	<b>970 303</b>	<b>970 304</b>	<b>970 305</b>	<b>970 306</b>	<b>970 307</b>	<b>970 308</b>	<b>970 309</b>	<b>970 310</b>	<b>970 509</b>	<b>970 709</b>	<b>970 710</b>
Baker, Colin																		
Bergman, Victoria	y						y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Bishop, Kimberley	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Bormann, Andrew	y		y	n	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Brown, Kandro	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	a	y	
Buckley, Anna-Gray	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Buxton, Jillian	y		n	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Carpenter, Daniel			y	y	y											a	y	
Carson, Haley	y						y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y			
Chism, Hennessey	y		y	a	n		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Cordova, Mackenzie	y		y	a	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Cox, Reece	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	a	y	
Curley, Nicholas	y						y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y			
D'Silva, Jordan	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Davis, Syleste	y		y	a	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Do, Khanh	y						y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y			
Grunewald, John	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Guidot, Ashley																		
Hedgcorth, Joseph	y		y	n	n		a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	y	y
Hoefke, Madeleine																		
James, Madison	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Kalvacherla, Vinay	y		y	a	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Kelling, Taylor	y		y	a	n		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Klima, Alexandra	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	

Kovach, Chase	y		y	n	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Kuketz, Timothy	y		y	n	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Lalani, Sanah	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Layne, Alexander	y		y	n	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	a	y	
Lewis, Danielle	y		a	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Mazetitis, Jake	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
McClintick, Alexander	y		y	a	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Nigh, Ryan	y		n	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Owings, Brian	n		y	y	y		n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	y	y
Pham, Daniel																		
Quick, Sam																		
Regalado Rubio, Carlos	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Reid, Austin	c		y	n	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Ryana, Naomee	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Simmons, Jonathan	y						y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y			y	
Thompson, Gabi			y	y	y											n	y	
Thompson, Maddy	y						y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Warner, Mason	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	a	y	
Williams, Dan	y		y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	
Yawn, Jon																		
<b>Yes</b>	34	0	29	20	29	0	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	18	34	0
<b>No</b>	1	0	2	6	3	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	0
<b>Abstain</b>	0	0	1	6	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	0	0